### CERTIFICATION OF ENROLLMENT

#### SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5659

Chapter 24, Laws of 2003

(partial veto)

58th Legislature 2003 1st Special Session

LOCAL GOVERNMENTS--ADDITIONAL FUNDING SOURCES

EFFECTIVE DATE: 7/1/03

CERTIFICATE

Secretary of State State of Washington

Passed by the Senate June 10, 2003

GARY LOCKE

Governor of the State of Washington

YEAS 36 NAYS 9 I, Milton н. Doumit, Secretary of the Senate of the State of Washington, do hereby BRAD OWEN certify that the attached is President of the Senate SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5659 as passed by the Senate Passed by the House June 10, 2003 YEAS 52 NAYS 40 and the House of Representatives on the dates hereon set forth. FRANK CHOPP MILTON H. DOUMIT JR. Speaker of the House of Representatives Secretary Approved June 20, 2003, with the FILED exception of sections 3 and 5, which June 20, 2003 - 3:41 p.m. are vetoed.

SECOND ENGROSSED SUBSTITUTE SENATE BILL 5659

#### AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

Passed Legislature - 2003 1st Special Session

### State of Washington 58th Legislature 2003 Regular Session

By Senate Committee on Government Operations & Elections (originally sponsored by Senators Winsley, Kastama, Oke, Franklin, Swecker, Rasmussen, Regala and Kohl-Welles)

READ FIRST TIME 03/05/03.

- 1 AN ACT Relating to authorizing additional funding for local
- 2 governments; amending RCW 36.70A.130, 84.55.050, and 36.70A.040; adding
- 3 a new section to chapter 82.14 RCW; creating a new section; providing
- 4 an effective date; and declaring an emergency.
- 5 BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON:
- 6 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 1.** The legislature finds that local governments
- 7 in the state of Washington face enormous challenges in the area of
- 8 criminal justice and public health. It is the legislature's intent to
- 9 allow general local governments to raise revenues in order to better
- 10 protect the health and safety of Washington state and its residents.
- 11 It is further the intent of the legislature to provide such local
- 12 governments relief from regulatory burdens that do not harm the public
- 13 health and safety of the citizens of the state as a means of minimizing
- 14 the need to generate new revenues authorized under this act.
- 15 <u>NEW SECTION.</u> **Sec. 2.** A new section is added to chapter 82.14 RCW
- 16 to read as follows:
- 17 (1) A county legislative authority may submit an authorizing
- 18 proposition to the county voters at a primary or general election and,

- if the proposition is approved by a majority of persons voting, impose a sales and use tax in accordance with the terms of this chapter. title of each ballot measure must clearly state the purposes for which the proposed sales and use tax will be used. Funds raised under this tax shall not supplant existing funds used for these purposes. rate of tax under this section shall not exceed three-tenths of one percent of the selling price in the case of a sales tax, or value of the article used, in the case of a use tax.
  - (2) The tax authorized in this section is in addition to any other taxes authorized by law and shall be collected from those persons who are taxable by the state under chapters 82.08 and 82.12 RCW upon the occurrence of any taxable event within the county.
  - (3) The retail sale or use of motor vehicles, and the lease of motor vehicles for up to the first thirty-six months of the lease, are exempt from tax imposed under this section.
  - (4) One-third of all money received under this section shall be used solely for criminal justice purposes. For the purposes of this subsection, "criminal justice purposes" means additional police protection, mitigation of congested court systems, or relief of overcrowded jails or other local correctional facilities.
  - (5) Money received under this section shall be shared between the county and the cities as follows: Sixty percent shall be retained by the county and forty percent shall be distributed on a per capita basis to cities in the county.

# \*Sec. 3. RCW 36.70A.130 and 2002 c 320 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1)(a) Each comprehensive land use plan and development regulations shall be subject to continuing review and evaluation by the county or city that adopted them. A county or city shall take legislative action to review and, if needed, revise its comprehensive land use plan and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter according to the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this section. A county or city not planning under RCW 36.70A.040 shall take action to review and, if needed, revise its policies and development regulations regarding critical areas and natural resource lands adopted according to this chapter to ensure these policies and regulations comply with the

requirements of this chapter according to the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this section. Legislative action means the adoption of a resolution or ordinance following notice and a public hearing indicating at a minimum, a finding that a review and evaluation has occurred and identifying the revisions made, or that a revision was not needed and the reasons therefore. The review and evaluation required by this subsection may be combined with the review required by subsection (3) of this section. The review and evaluation required by this subsection shall include, but is not limited to, consideration of critical area ordinances and, if planning under RCW 36.70A.040, an analysis of the population allocated to a city or county from the most recent ten-year population forecast by the office of financial management.

- (b) Any amendment of or revision to a comprehensive land use plan shall conform to this chapter. Any amendment of or revision to development regulations shall be consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan.
- (2)(a) Each county and city shall establish and broadly disseminate to the public a public participation program consistent with RCW 36.70A.035 and 36.70A.140 that identifies procedures and schedules whereby updates, proposed amendments, or revisions of the comprehensive plan are considered by the governing body of the county or city no more frequently than once every year. "Updates" means to review and revise, if needed, according to subsection (1) of this section, and the time periods specified in subsection (4) of this section. Amendments may be considered more frequently than once per year under the following circumstances:
- (i) The initial adoption of a subarea plan that does not modify the comprehensive plan policies and designations applicable to the subarea;
- (ii) The adoption or amendment of a shoreline master program under the procedures set forth in chapter 90.58 RCW; and
- (iii) The amendment of the capital facilities element of a comprehensive plan that occurs concurrently with the adoption or amendment of a county or city budget.
- (b) Except as otherwise provided in (a) of this subsection, all proposals shall be considered by the governing body concurrently so the cumulative effect of the various proposals can be ascertained. However, after appropriate public participation a county or city may

adopt amendments or revisions to its comprehensive plan that conform with this chapter whenever an emergency exists or to resolve an appeal of a comprehensive plan filed with a growth management hearings board or with the court.

- (3) Each county that designates urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110 shall review, at least every ten years, its designated urban growth area or areas, and the densities permitted within both the incorporated and unincorporated portions of each urban growth area. In conjunction with this review by the county, each city located within an urban growth area shall review the densities permitted within its boundaries, and the extent to which the urban growth occurring within the county has located within each city and the unincorporated portions of the urban growth areas. The county comprehensive plan designating urban growth areas, and the densities permitted in the urban growth areas by the comprehensive plans of the county and each city located within the urban growth areas, shall be revised to accommodate the urban growth projected to occur in the county for the succeeding twenty-year period. The review required by this subsection may be combined with the review and evaluation required by RCW 36.70A.215.
- (4) The department shall establish a schedule for counties and cities to take action to review and, if needed, revise their comprehensive plans and development regulations to ensure the plan and regulations comply with the requirements of this chapter. The schedule established by the department shall provide for the reviews and evaluations to be completed as follows:
- (a) On or before December 1, 2004, and every seven years thereafter, for ((Clallam,)) Clark, ((Jefferson,)) King, Kitsap, Pierce, Snohomish, Thurston, and Whatcom counties and the cities within those counties;
- 30 (b) On or before December 1, 2005, and every seven years 31 thereafter, for Cowlitz, Island, Lewis, Mason, San Juan, Skagit, 32 <u>Clallam, Jefferson</u>, and Skamania counties and the cities within those 33 counties;
  - (c) On or before December 1, 2006, and every seven years thereafter, for Benton, Chelan, Douglas, Grant, Kittitas, Spokane, and Yakima counties and the cities within those counties; and
- 37 (d) On or before December 1, 2007, and every seven years 38 thereafter, for Adams, Asotin, Columbia, Ferry, Franklin, Garfield,

Grays Harbor, Klickitat, Lincoln, Okanogan, Pacific, Pend Oreille, Stevens, Wahkiakum, Walla Walla, and Whitman counties and the cities within those counties.

- (5)(a) Nothing in this section precludes a county or city from conducting the review and evaluation required by this section before the time limits established in subsection (4) of this section. Counties and cities may begin this process early and may be eligible for grants from the department, subject to available funding, if they elect to do so.
- (b) State agencies are encouraged to provide technical assistance to the counties and cities in the review of critical area ordinances, comprehensive plans, and development regulations.
- (6) A county or city subject to the time periods in subsection (4)(a) of this section that, pursuant to an ordinance adopted by the county or city establishing a schedule for periodic review of its comprehensive plan and development regulations, has conducted a review and evaluation of its comprehensive plan and development regulations and, on or after January 1, 2001, has taken action in response to that review and evaluation shall be deemed to have conducted the first review required by subsection (4)(a) of this section. Subsequent review and evaluation by the county or city of its comprehensive plan and development regulations shall be conducted in accordance with the time periods established under subsection (4)(a) of this section.
- (7) The requirements imposed on counties and cities under this section shall be considered "requirements of this chapter" under the terms of RCW 36.70A.040(1). Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section shall have the requisite authority to receive grants, loans, pledges, or financial guarantees from those accounts established in RCW 43.155.050 and 70.146.030. Only those counties and cities in compliance with the schedules in this section shall receive preference for grants or loans subject to the provisions of RCW 43.17.250.
  \*Sec. 3 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.
- **Sec. 4.** RCW 84.55.050 and 1989 c 287 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:
- 35 (1) Subject to any otherwise applicable statutory dollar rate 36 limitations, regular property taxes may be levied by or for a taxing 37 district in an amount exceeding the limitations provided for in this

- chapter if such levy is authorized by a proposition approved by a majority of the voters of the taxing district voting on the proposition at a general election held within the district or at a special election within the taxing district called by the district for the purpose of submitting such proposition to the voters. Any election held pursuant to this section shall be held not more than twelve months prior to the date on which the proposed levy is to be made, except as provided in subsection (3)(b) of this section. The ballot of the proposition shall state the dollar rate proposed and shall clearly state any conditions which are applicable under subsection (3) of this section.
  - (2) After a levy authorized pursuant to this section is made, the dollar amount of such levy shall be used for the purpose of computing the limitations for subsequent levies provided for in this chapter, except as provided in subsections (3) and (4) of this section.
    - (3) A proposition placed before the voters under this section may:
    - (a) Limit the period for which the increased levy is to be made;
  - (b) Subject to statutory dollar limitations in RCW 84.52.043, authorize annual increases in levies for any county, city, or town for multiple consecutive years, up to six consecutive years, during which period each year's authorized maximum legal levy shall be used as the base upon which an increased levy limit for the succeeding year is computed, but the ballot proposition must state the dollar rate proposed only for the first year of the consecutive years and must state the limit factor, or a specified index to be used for determining a limit factor, such as the consumer price index, which need not be the same for all years, by which the regular tax levy for the district may be increased in each of the subsequent consecutive years. Elections for this purpose must be held at a primary or general election. The title of each ballot measure must state the specific purposes for which the proposed levy increase shall be used, and funds raised under this levy shall not supplant existing funds used for these purposes;
  - (c) Limit the purpose for which the increased levy is to be made, but if the limited purpose includes making redemption payments on bonds, the period for which the increased levies are made shall not exceed nine years;
- (((+c))) (d) Set the levy at a rate less than the maximum rate 37 allowed for the district;

- (e) Provide that the maximum allowable dollar amount of the final annual levy of the period specified in the measure shall be used to compute the limitations provided for in this chapter on levy increases occurring after the expiration of the period; or
  - $((\frac{d}{d}))$  (f) Include any combination of the conditions in this subsection.

5

6 7

8

10

18 19

2021

22

2324

2526

27

2829

30

31

32

33

34

3536

- (4) Except as otherwise provided in an approved ballot measure under this section, after the expiration of a limited period or the satisfaction of a limited purpose, whichever comes first, subsequent levies shall be computed as if:
- 11 (a) The limited proposition under subsection (3) of this section 12 had not been approved; and
- 13 (b) The taxing district had made levies at the maximum rates which 14 would otherwise have been allowed under this chapter during the years 15 levies were made under the limited proposition.

## \*Sec. 5. RCW 36.70A.040 and 2000 c 36 s 1 are each amended to read as follows:

(1)(a) Each county that has both a population of fifty thousand or more and, until May 16, 1995, has had its population increase by more than ten percent in the previous ten years or, on or after May 16, 1995, has had its population increase by more than seventeen percent in the previous ten years, and the cities located within such county, and any other county regardless of its population that has had its population increase by more than twenty percent in the previous ten years, and the cities located within such county, shall conform with all of the requirements of this chapter. However, the county legislative authority of such a county with a population of less than fifty thousand population may adopt a resolution removing the county, and the cities located within the county, from the requirements of adopting comprehensive land use plans and development regulations under this chapter if this resolution is adopted and filed with the department by December 31, 1990, for counties initially meeting this set of criteria, or within sixty days of the date the office of financial management certifies that a county meets this set of criteria under subsection  $((\frac{5}{2}))$  (6) of this section. For the purposes of this subsection, a county not currently planning under this chapter is not required to include in its population count those persons confined in a correctional facility under the jurisdiction of the department of corrections that is located in the county.

- (b) Once a county meets either of these sets of criteria and the county has not removed itself from the requirement to plan under this section pursuant to subsection (3) of this section, the requirement to conform with all of the requirements of this chapter remains in effect, even if the county no longer meets one of these sets of criteria.
- (2) The county legislative authority of any county that does not meet either of the sets of criteria established under subsection (1) of this section may adopt a resolution indicating its intention to have subsection (1) of this section apply to the county. Each city, located in a county that chooses to plan under this subsection, shall conform with all of the requirements of this chapter. Once such a resolution has been adopted, the county and the cities located within the county remain subject to all of the requirements of this chapter unless the county removes itself from the requirement to plan under this section pursuant to subsection (3) of this section.
- (3) A county that meets the requirements of this subsection, and a city located within the county, may be relieved from the requirement to plan under this section.
- (a) A county may be relieved from the planning requirement of this section only if the county: (i) Has a population of less than ten thousand; (ii) has a privately owned taxable land base of less than twenty percent; and (iii) includes no more than one incorporated city.
- (b) To be relieved from the planning requirement of this section, a county shall adopt a resolution that removes the county and the city from the requirement to plan and shall file the resolution with the department. Removal shall be deemed to occur on the date the resolution is filed with the department.
- (4) Any county or city that is initially required to conform with all of the requirements of this chapter under subsection (1) of this section and has not removed itself under subsection (3) of this section shall take actions under this chapter as follows: (a) The county legislative authority shall adopt a county-wide planning policy under RCW 36.70A.210; (b) the county and each city located within the county shall designate critical areas, agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands, and adopt development regulations conserving

these designated agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands and protecting these designated critical areas, under RCW 36.70A.170 and 36.70A.060; (c) the county shall designate and take other actions related to urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110; (d) if the county has a population of fifty thousand or more, the county and each city located within the county shall adopt a comprehensive plan under this chapter and development regulations that are consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan on or before July 1, 1994, and if the county has a population of less than fifty thousand, the county and each city located within the county shall adopt a comprehensive plan under this chapter and development regulations that are consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan by January 1, 1995, but if the governor makes written findings that a county with a population of less than fifty thousand or a city located within such a not making reasonable progress is toward adopting comprehensive plan and development regulations the governor may reduce this deadline for such actions to be taken by no more than one hundred eighty days. Any county or city subject to this subsection may obtain an additional six months before it is required to have adopted its development regulations by submitting a letter notifying the department of community, trade, and economic development of its need prior to the deadline for adopting both a comprehensive plan and development regulations.

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

1415

16

17

18

19

20

2122

23

24

25

26

27

2829

30

31

32

33

34

35

3637

38

(((4))) (5) Any county or city that is required to conform with all the requirements of this chapter, as a result of the county legislative authority adopting its resolution of intention under subsection (2) of this section and the county has not removed itself pursuant to subsection (3) of this section, shall take actions under this chapter as follows: (a) The county legislative authority shall adopt a county-wide planning policy under RCW 36.70A.210; (b) the county and each city that is located within the county shall adopt development regulations conserving agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands it designated under RCW 36.70A.060 within one year of the date the county legislative authority adopts its resolution of intention; (c) the county shall designate and take other actions related to urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110; and (d) the county and each city that is located within the county shall adopt a comprehensive plan and development regulations that are consistent with and implement the

comprehensive plan not later than four years from the date the county legislative authority adopts its resolution of intention, but a county or city may obtain an additional six months before it is required to have adopted its development regulations by submitting a letter notifying the department of community, trade, and economic development of its need prior to the deadline for adopting both a comprehensive plan and development regulations.

(((5))) (6) If the office of financial management certifies that the population of a county that previously had not been required to plan under subsection (1) or (2) of this section has changed sufficiently to meet either of the sets of criteria specified under subsection (1) of this section, and where applicable, the county legislative authority has not adopted a resolution removing the county from these requirements as provided in subsection (1) of this section, the county and each city within such county shall take actions under this chapter as follows: (a) The county legislative authority shall adopt a county-wide planning policy under RCW 36.70A.210; (b) the county and each city located within the county shall adopt development regulations under RCW 36.70A.060 conserving agricultural lands, forest lands, and mineral resource lands it designated within one year of the certification by the office of financial management; (c) the county shall designate and take other actions related to urban growth areas under RCW 36.70A.110; and (d) the county and each city located within the county shall adopt a comprehensive land use plan and development regulations that are consistent with and implement the comprehensive plan within four years of the certification by the office of financial management, but a county or city may obtain an additional six months before it is required to have adopted its development regulations by submitting a letter notifying the department of community, trade, and economic development of its need prior to the deadline for adopting both a comprehensive plan and development regulations.

((6))) (7) A copy of each document that is required under this section shall be submitted to the department at the time of its adoption.

((7)) (8) Cities and counties planning under this chapter must amend the transportation element of the comprehensive plan to be in compliance with this chapter and chapter 47.80 RCW no later than

December 31, 2000. \*Sec. 5 was vetoed. See message at end of chapter.

1 2

3 4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

1920

2122

23

24

25

26

27

28

2930

31

32

33

34

35

3637

- NEW SECTION. Sec. 6. This act is necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety, or support of the state government and its existing public institutions, and takes effect July 1, 2003.
  - NEW SECTION. Sec. 7. If any provision of this act or its application to any person or circumstance is held invalid, the remainder of the act or the application of the provision to other persons or circumstances is not affected.

Passed by the Senate June 10, 2003.

Passed by the House June 10, 2003.

5

6

7

8

Approved by the Governor June 20, 2003, with the exception of certain items that were vetoed.

Filed in Office of Secretary of State June 20, 2003.

Note: Governor's explanation of partial veto is as follows:

"I am returning herewith, without my approval as to sections 3 and 5, Second Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5659 entitled:

"AN ACT Relating to authorizing additional funding for local governments;"

This bill responsibly addresses a growing problem in Washington State - the gap between local government revenues and expenses. It provides two different mechanisms for localities to deal with this situation. Both approaches have a common feature; they allow the taxes to take effect only if voters approve them.

However, two sections of the bill are unrelated to its title, "an act relating to authorizing additional funding for local governments," which could jeopardize the constitutionality of the entire act. Sections 3 and 5 amend the Growth Management Act (GMA). While I realize that various jurisdictions have problems with GMA implementation, any changes to GMA should only be undertaken after careful consideration of relevant issues. It is also questionable whether two counties should receive an extension of the timetable for updating their comprehensive plans without clearer comparison to other counties' problems in meeting their deadlines for such updates.

I hereby direct my staff to work with the Department of Community, Trade and Economic Development and with concerned stakeholders over the next five months on potential amendments to the GMA. The deliberations should focus on how we can meet the goals of the GMA, plan for economic development, and protect our environment, while recognizing the difficult fiscal conditions facing so many local governments. The stakeholders should include a representative group of cities and counties, as well as the Association of Washington Cities and the Washington State Association of Counties. It is my intention that we bring to the 2004 Legislature a set of GMA amendments that can be adopted with broad support.

For these reasons, I have vetoed sections 3 and 5 of Second Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5659.

With the exception of sections 3 and 5, Second Engrossed Substitute Senate Bill No. 5659 is approved."